

ISTITUTO NAZIONALE DI RICERCA METROLOGICA Repository Istituzionale

Effective versus standard Epstein loss figure in Fe-Si sheets.

This is the author's accepted version of the contribution published as:

Original

Effective versus standard Epstein loss figure in Fe-Si sheets / Ferrara, Enzo; Appino, Carlo; Rocchino, Luciano; Ragusa, Carlo; de la Barrière, Olivier; Fiorillo, Fausto. - In: INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF APPLIED ELECTROMAGNETICS AND MECHANICS. - ISSN 1383-5416. - 55:(2017). [10.3233/JAE-172263]

Availability: This version is available at: 11696/57002 since: 2021-02-07T06:36:20Z

Publisher: IOS Press:Nieuwe Hemweg

Published DOI:10.3233/JAE-172263

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

Effective versus standard Epstein loss figure in Fe-Si sheets.

Enzo Ferrara¹, Carlo Appino¹, Luciano Rocchino¹, Carlo Ragusa², Olivier de la Barrière³, and Fausto Fiorillo¹

1 Istituto Nazionale di Ricerca Metrologica, Nanoscience and Materials Division, Torino, Italy 2 Politecnico di Torino, Energy Department, Torino, Italy 3 SATIE-ENS, UniverSud, Cachan, France

Abstract

1. Introduction

 The 25 cm Epstein test-frame with a defined magnetic path length *l*^m = 0.94 m is a solidly assessed method for the characterization of the magnetic steel sheets. It ensures good measurement reproducibility and is widely adopted as an industry standard [1][2][3][4]. It is also well established that the specific features of the employed magnetic circuit, with the double overlapping corners and the ensuing inhomogeneous flux distribution, make the value of the measured quantities, namely the magnetic losses, different from the value of the true quantities [5][6]. True values could, however, be possibly accounted for by incorporating the complex response of the magnetic 39 circuit into an effective magnetic path length l_{eff} , depending on the type of sheet, peak induction value, frequency, and type of excitation [7]. Should the effective (true) power loss figure *P*eff be measured, one could express it in terms of standard power loss value *P*epst according to

$$
P_{\rm eff} = P_{\rm epst} \cdot l_{\rm m} / l_{\rm eff} \,. \tag{1}
$$

 Measurements of *P*eff using a single strip tester and an *H*-coil were reported for non-oriented (NO) and 44 grain-oriented (GO) sheets by Ahlers, et al. [8]. They found at 50 Hz $P_{\text{epst}} < P_{\text{eff}}$, that is $l_{\text{eff}} > l_{\text{m}}$ in all materials, 45 with maximum difference of the order of 8% in GO sheets at $J_p = 1.7$ T. These authors justified their results in 46 terms of effective length, expressed as $l_{eff} = l_0 + (\mu/2\mu_c) \cdot l_c$, the sum of the legs length *l*₀ and part of the corners length l_c , depending on the ratio of the leg to corner permeabilities μ and μ_c . A number of literature experiments [5] actually show scattered outcomes, both in NO and GO materials, with *P*epst either higher or lower than *P*eff and P_{cpst} / P_{eff} generally decreasing with the peak polarization J_p . Marketos, et al. [7][9] have determined *l*_{eff} by measuring GO and NO sheets with conventional 25 cm and reduced 17.5 cm Epstein frames. They find, assuming 51 identical flux distribution in the corners of the two frames, that *leff* is always higher than l_m (that is, $P_{\text{epst}}/P_{\text{eff}} > 1$) in GO high-permeability sheets and, in contrast with the results reported in [5], increasing with *J*p.

 In this paper we discuss measurements of power losses performed at 50 Hz on different types of NO and GO 54 steel sheets, both according to the measuring standard (25 cm Epstein frame with $l_m = 0.94$ m) and by detecting the tangential field and the induction derivative by collinear narrow *H*- and *B*-coils windings, placed directly upon 56 the steel strips at the centre of the Epstein legs. In this way, the true power loss $P_{\text{eff}}(J_p)$ is obtained in comparison 57 with the standard loss figure $P_{\text{epst}}(J_p)$. A ratio $P_{\text{epst}}/P_{\text{eff}}$ monotonically increasing with J_p is thus found in all materials. This can equivalently be expressed in terms of an effective path length similarly increasing with *J*p.

2. Experimental method

 The magnetic measurements were performed at 50 Hz under sinusoidal induction waveform on the NO and GO alloys listed in Table 1. Both conventional (CGO) and high-permeability (HGO) grain-oriented sheets were investigated. A calibrated hysteresisgraph-wattmeter with digital control of the induction waveform was used, where signal acquisition and A/D conversion is made by means of a 12-bit 500 MHz HDO4054 LeCroy oscilloscope. The whole measuring process is performed within an Agilent VEE environment. The NO and GO 66 alloys were tested in the polarization intervals $0.5 T - 1.5 T$ and $1.0 T - 1.8 T$, respectively, with either eight or twelve strips inserted in the Epstein frame, depending on the sheet thickness. For any given material, a standard measurement was first made, followed by measurements with the centrally placed local windings. The arrangement of the 17 mm wide *H*- and *B*-coils, which are stuck together and placed inside the Epstein windings, 70 is schematically shown in Fig. 1. The *H*-coil (turn-area $N_H S_H = 2.11 \cdot 10^{-2}$ m², thickness ~ 1.5 mm) is made of a few hundred turns (wire diameter 0.05 mm) wound on a rigid fibreglass plate and calibrated inside a field reference setup [10]. The 50-turn *B*-coil enwraps the *H*-coil and the strips under test. The air-flux contribution is usually negligible, but it is in any case compensated via software. Once the single standard Epstein measurement of the 74 power loss $P_{\text{epst}}(J_{0p})$ at a given polarization J_{0p} is done, the local d*B*/d*t* and d*H*_{eff} /dt signals are simultaneously detected, under the identical exciting conditions, at a significant number of points, from corner to corner, along the length of the Epstein leg, amplified by calibrated low-noise amplifiers SR560, and integrated, These local measurements are then identically repeated on the other legs and the results are averaged. They provide the 78 behaviours of $J(x)$ and $H_{eff}(x)$ as a function of the distance x from the centre of the leg for the Epstein measured polarization *J*0p. The distance *x* ranges between -110 mm and +110 mm. Finally, the *H*- and *B*-coils are moved to 80 the centre of the leg ($x = 0$) and the measurement of $P_{\text{eff}}(J_{0p})$ is performed by imposing the local d*J*/d*t* sinusoidal 81 with peak polarization value $J_p(0) = J_{0p}$. It is remarked that across the 17 mm wide region occupied by the coils 82 centred at $x = 0$ the polarization is highly uniform. Given the low field levels involved, a certain background noise in the d*H*eff/d*t* signal is inevitable. This is dealt with by repeating the very same measurement a number of times, to make the random uncertainty contribution negligible. The process is further repeated on the other legs and the results are averaged.

3. Experimental results and discussion

 The general outcome of the measurements performed on the six different types of soft magnetic steels described in Table 1, two NO sheets of thickness 0.194 mm and 0.343 mm, two conventional and two high-91 permeability GO sheets, of thickness ranging between 0.255 and 0.295 mm, is that the standard Epstein loss figure *P*epst can either overestimate or underestimate the effective power loss *P*eff, but the ratio *P*epst / *P*eff is always a 93 monotonically increasing function of J_p . The local $J_p = J_p(0)$ value involved in the measurement of P_{eff} is obviously 94 made to coincide with the polarization value J_{0p} previously determined through the whole Epstein secondary winding. While this result may appear partly ad odd with previous literature outcomes [5][8], we shall observe in the following how the behavior of *P*epst / *P*eff can be justified in terms of inhomogeneity of the induction along the Epstein legs and the power law dependence of *P*eff on *J*p. Let us therefore observe in Fig. 2 the overall experimental 98 behaviors of $(P_{\text{epst}} - P_{\text{eff}})/P_{\text{eff}}$ versus J_p and of the related effective magnetic path length $l_{\text{eff}} = l_m \cdot (P_{\text{epst}}/P_{\text{eff}})$. Similar trends versus *J*^p are followed by the NO and GO materials, but the standard power loss *P*epst becomes significantly 100 higher, around 4 % – 5 %, than the true loss P_{eff} at the highest J_p values in the GO sheets. Table 2 provides a comparison of the measured power losses *P*epst and*P*eff.

102 In order to find a rationale for the *J*_p dependent relationship between *P*_{epst} and *P*_{eff}, it is useful to analyze the

 distribution of field and polarization along the magnetic circuit, as retrieved by the previously described local measurements. To start with, we provide an example in Fig. 3, concerning the NO-2 sheet, of field decomposition along a leg of the frame for a standard Epstein measurement at a given polarization value *J*p. The solenoid 106 surrounding each leg has length $2L = 195$ mm. By subtracting the effective field $H_{\text{eff}}(x)$, measured by sliding the *H*-coil along the leg, from the field *H*sol(*x*) applied by the primary solenoid, we obtain the behavior of the magnetostatic field *H*d(*x*). This exerts a demagnetizing action towards the strip portion of length 2*L* underlying the Epstein winding, adding instead to *H*sol towards the corners, to eventually impose a magnetic path length *l*eff longer 110 than the solenoid length. The effect of *H*_d is less important at high inductions, where the permeability is lower and 111 the free poles are more localized around the solenoid edges. The distribution of the polarization $J_p(x)$ along 2*L* is however moderately affected, as shown by the examples regarding the samples NO-2 and HGO-1 shown in Fig. 4. These curves are representative of the flux distribution found in all materials and bring to light the fact that, because of the strong non-linear dependence of the power loss on *J*p, the true loss value cannot be recovered by a standard Epstein measurement, adjusted through a simple constant (in this case the conventional magnetic path

116 length *l*_m). By denoting the polarization measured through the secondary Epstein winding $J_0(t) = J_{0p} \sin(\omega t)$,

117 where
$$
J_{0p} = \frac{1}{2L} \int_{-L}^{L} f(x) dx
$$
, we can write the power loss per unit volume measured with the standard method

118
$$
P_{\text{epst}}(J_{0\text{p}}) = \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} H_{\text{epst}}(t) \cdot J_{0\text{p}} \omega \cos(\omega t) dt , \qquad (2)
$$

119 where $H_{\text{epst}}(t) = N_H i_H(t) / I_m$, N_H is the number of turns of the primary winding and $i_H(t)$ is the magnetizing current. 120 The true power loss corresponding to the condition met with the standard Epstein measurement is therefore given 121 by the average of the local *P*eff(*x*) across the length 2*L*

122
$$
P_{\text{eff}}(J_{0p}) = \frac{1}{2L} \int_{-L}^{L} dx \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} H_{\text{eff}}(t, x) \cdot J_{p}(x) \cdot \omega \cos(\omega t) dt = \frac{1}{2L} \int_{-L}^{L} P_{\text{eff}}(J_{p}(x)) dx.
$$
 (3)

123 We thus obtain the effective magnetic path length corresponding to such condition

124
$$
l_{\text{eff}}(J_{0p}) = l_{\text{m}} \cdot \frac{P_{\text{epst}}(J_{0p})}{P_{\text{eff}}(J_{0p})}.
$$
 (4)

125 The quantity $P_{\text{eff}}(J_{0p})$ can be measured, according to Eq. (3), by integrating the previously discussed local 126 measurements, which are represented as a function of J_p in Fig. 5, over the $J_p(x)$ distribution shown in Fig. 4. This 127 is easily done through knowledge of the measured dependence of *P*eff(*J*p) on *J*p, which, as shown in Fig. 5, follows 128 a power law $P_{\text{eff}}(J_p) \propto J_p^n$, with *n* an increasing function of J_p . By introducing the $P_{\text{eff}}(J_{0p})$ calculated by Eq. (3), we 129 obtain the behavior of $l_{\text{eff}}(J_{0p})$ in the different materials shown in Fig. 6. On the other hand, the previously defined 130 *P*_{eff}(*J*_p) (Fig. 2) is the true loss measured at $x = 0$ when $J_p(0) = J_p$, which is compared with the Epstein power loss 131 when the secondary winding provides the same polarization value J_p . $l_{\text{eff}}(J_p)$ is correspondingly defined through 132 Eq. (1). Consequently, $l_{\text{eff}}(J_{0p})$ and $l_{\text{eff}}(J_p)$ do not usually coincide. $l_{\text{eff}}(J_{0p})$ is, in any case, the magnetic path length 133 to be applied in substitution of *l*^m when making the standard Epstein testing at 50 Hz at the specific measured 134 polarization level J_{0p} . It takes into account the fact that the peak polarization J_{0p} measured by the secondary Epstein 135 winding is the average of $J_p(x)$ between $\pm L$, according to the behaviors of $J_p(x)$ shown in Fig. 4. It will coincide 136 with $l_{\text{eff}}(J_p)$ for homogeneous $J_p(x)$ across the solenoid length 2*L*, a limiting unattainable condition. The calculated 137 *leff*(J_{0p}) and the measured *leff*(J_p) nevertheless display quite similar increasing trends vs. J_p , both in NO and GO alloys, as illustrated by their behaviors shown in Fig. 6. It is appreciated the fact that, as shown in Figs. 2 and 6, the effective magnetic path length in the GO alloys tends to be higher than in the NO sheets, besides being larger 140 than the conventional Epstein value $l_m = 0.94$ m. This implies that $P_{\text{epst}}/P_{\text{eff}}$ is similarly higher. The present results 141 actually show that $J_p(x)$ is more homogeneous in the GO strips (see the example shown in Fig. 4), thereby better

approaching the ideal condition of perfectly homogeneous magnetization over the whole 1m long Epstein circuit.

4. Conclusions

 Measurements of the true power losses in different types of non-oriented and grain-oriented materials using localized *H*- and *B*-coils placed inside the legs of a standard 25 cm Epstein frame have been compared, upon a range of peak polarization values, with the loss figures obtained according to the usual procedure 148 prescribed by the measuring standards. It is found that true P_{eff} and standard P_{epst} power loss figures are in a 149 relationship dependent on the imposed peak polarization value J_p , with the ratio P_{epst} / P_{eff} exhibiting a 150 monotonical increase with J_p in all materials in the investigated polarization range 0.5 T $\leq J_p \leq 1.8$ T. This behavior, which can be interpreted in terms of an effective magnetic path length *l*eff, to be used as a substitute for 152 the conventional fixed length $l_m = 0.94$ m in the expression for the applied field, is justified in terms of non-153 uniform profile of the polarization $J_p(x)$ along the strip portion underlying the Epstein secondary winding and 154 non-linear increase of P_{eff} with J_p . The effective path length $l_{\text{eff}}(J_p)$ can then be calculated, by which true and Epstein power losses are reconciled.

-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-

Table 2

Power loss at 50 Hz obtained by the standard Epstein measurement (P_{epst}) and the localized measurement (P_{eff}) as a function of peak polarization on three different Fe-Si sheets.

$=$ 50 Hz	$NO-1$		$CGO-2$		$HGO-1$	
$J_{\rm p}(\text{T})$	P_{epst} (W/kg)	P_{eff} (W/kg)	P_{epst} (W/kg)	P_{eff} (W/kg)	P_{epst} (W/kg)	$P_{\rm eff}$ (W/kg)
0.50	0.241	0.248	--	$- -$	$- -$	--
0.75	0.480	0.489	--	--	--	--
1.0	0.784	0.755	0.345	0.343	0.303	0.299
1.2	1.125	1.135	0.499	0.498	0.431	0.426
1.4	1.656	1.665	--	--	--	--
1.5	2.07	2.050	0.811	0.787	0.681	0.664
1.7	--	$- -$	1.198	1.154	0.914	0.874
1.8	--	--	1.587	1.514	1.123	1.063

305
306 **Figure captions**

 Fig. 1 – Arrangement of the local sensing coils inside a leg of the Epstein frame. The flat multiturn *H*- coil (thickness ~ 1.5 mm) is placed in contact with the Epstein strip surface and the *B*-coil is wound around it and the steel strips. The coils are about 17 mm wide and can slide along the whole length of the Epstein leg.

 313 Fig. 2 – The experimental dependence on J_p of the ratio of standard to true power losses $P_{\text{epst}}/P_{\text{eff}}$ in NO and in conventional (CGO) and high-permeability (HGO) Fe-Si alloys (a) is paralleled by the behavior 315 of the effective magnetic path length l_{eff} (b).

318 Fig. $3 -$ Effective field H_{eff} measured versus the distance x from the Epstein leg centre in the NO-2 sheet. 319 It is $H_{\text{eff}} = H_{\text{sol}}$ - H_{d} , the difference between the field H_{sol} generated by the primary winding and the field *H*^d originating from the free poles distributed along the strip length. To note the demagnetizing and magnetizing effect of *H*^d beneath and outside the solenoid length. The horizontal dotted line shows the 322 conventional field H_{epst} , calculated assuming the magnetic path length $l_m = 0.94$ m.

 325 Fig. 4 – Examples of measured distribution of the reduced polarization $J(x) / J(0)$ upon the portion of strip length underlying the Epstein secondary winding in the NO-2 and HGO-1 sheets.

 330 Fig. 5 – The measured effective power loss $P_{\text{eff}}(J_p)$ increases with the peak polarization J_p according to a 331 power law $P_{\text{eff}} \propto J_p^n$, with *n* an increasing function of J_p .

335 Fig. 6 – Effective magnetic path lengths $l_{\text{eff}}(J_{0p})$ and $l_{\text{eff}}(J_p)$ versus peak polarization in the investigated NO and GO steel sheets. *l*eff(*J*0p) is calculated through Eqs. (2)–(4). It permits one to retrieve the true 337 power loss value from the standard loss figure for peak polarization J_{0p} measured with the secondary 338 Epstein winding. *l*eff(*J*_p) is the same quantity obtained for $J_p = J_p(0)$, where $J_p(0)$ is the polarization measured at the centre of the Epstein leg.

Fig. 1 – Arrangement of the local sensing coils inside a leg of the Epstein frame. The flat multiturn *H*-coil (thickness \sim 1.5 mm) is placed in contact with the Epstein strip surface and the *B*-coil is wound around it and the steel strips. The coils are about 17 mm wide and can slide along the whole length of the Epstein leg.

-
-
- 408 409
- 410
- 411
- 412
- 413
- 414
- 415
- 416

Fig. 2 – The experimental dependence on J_p of the ratio of standard to true power losses $P_{\text{epst}}/P_{\text{eff}}$ in NO and in conventional (CGO) and high-permeability (HGO) Fe-Si alloys (a) is paralleled by the behavior of the effective magnetic path length *l*eff (b).

12

- 422 423
- 424 425

-
-
-
-
-

- leg 466 H_{sol} 467 les 468 gth 471 leg $H_{\rm sol}$ les ing ine gth
	-
	-
	-
	-
	-

Fig. 5 – The measured effective power loss $P_{\text{eff}}(J_p)$ increases with the peak polarization J_p according to a power law $P_{\text{eff}} \propto J_p^n$, with *n* an increasing function of *J*p.

- 587 588 589 590 591 592
- 593 594
- 595
- 596

Fig. 6 – Effective magnetic path lengths $l_{\text{eff}}(J_{0p})$ and $l_{\text{eff}}(J_{p})$ versus peak polarization in the investigated NO and GO steel sheets. $l_{\text{eff}}(J_{0p})$ is calculated through Eqs. (2)–(4). It permits one to retrieve the true power loss value from the standard loss figure for peak polarization J_{0p} measured with the secondary Epstein winding. $l_{\text{eff}}(J_p)$ is the same quantity obtained for $J_p = J_p(0)$, where $J_p(0)$ is the polarization measured at the centre of the Epstein leg.

604 605