

ISTITUTO NAZIONALE DI RICERCA METROLOGICA Repository Istituzionale

Freeform scanning on the internal involute waviness measurement standard

Publisher copyright

A. Piccato, A.Egidi, D. Corona, A.Balsamo

Freeform scanning on the internal involute waviness measurement standard

T.R. 17/2017 September 2017

I.N.RI.M. TECHNICAL REPORT

Abstract

PTB designed and manufactured an internal involute waviness scanning measurement standard (*SAFT 2w*). The device embodies an internal and an external involute profile both superposed with a certain waviness which enables to characterize the dynamic behavior of probing systems. The measurement standard is designed as a disc with two high accurate reference surfaces (a circle and a plane) to define the datum axis of the workpiece. A precise bore is used to define the x-axis. Both the internal and external involute profiles have been calibrated as unmodified gear profiles according to existing standards and guidelines (e.g. ISO 1328-1), i.e. for both profiles the total deviation \mathbf{F}_a , the form deviation \mathbf{f}_a and the slope deviation \mathbf{f}_{Ha} have been calibrated. Moreover, a spectral analysis has been performed using FFT method. The three main components of the spectrum have been calibrated in terms of wavelength and amplitude.

INRIM has investigated the influence of scanning parameter such as 5 different scanning speeds within the range of the machine specification, 3 different workpiece orientations inside the measurement volume and 3 different stylus lengths. The measurement have been carried out on the CMM at INRIM by using the internal involute waviness measuring the calibrated standard *SAFT 2w* and a model for estimating the measuring uncertainty contribution has been derived from the measurement results. *This work is related to the deliverable 5.2.3 of Drive Train Poject (ENG56).*

Il PTB ha progettato e costruito un campione envolvente (SAFT 2w) per la valtazione degli effetti introdotti dai parametri di scanning nelle misure a coordiante. Il dispositivo comprende un profilo di evolvente interna ed esterna, entrambe sovrapposte con una certa waviness (una lavorazione meccanica che riproduce un andamento ondoso sulla superficie del pezzo con determinate caratteristiche di lunghezza d'onda e ampiezza) che consente di caratterizzare il comportamento dinamico dei sistemi di scansione. Il campione di misura è progettato come un disco con due superfici di riferimento ad alta precisione (un cerchio e un piano) per definire l'asse di riferimento del pezzo. Un foro di precisione viene utilizzato per definire l'asse x. Entrambi i profili di evolvente sono stati tarati come profili di ruote dentate secondo gli standard e le linee guida esistenti (si veda ad esempio ISO 1328-1). Per entrambi i profili sono state tarate la deviazione totale F_a , la deviazione modulo f_b e la deviazione della pendenza f_{H_a} , Inoltre, è stata effettuata un'analisi spettrale *usando il metodo FFT. Le tre componenti principali dello spettro sono state tarate (PTB) in termini di lunghezza d'onda e di ampiezza.*

L'INRIM ha analizzato l'influenza dei parametri di scansione, quali: 5 diverse velocità di scansione (da 2 mm / s a 24 mm / s), 3 diversi orientamenti del pezzo all'interno del volume di misura e 3 diverse lunghezze dello stilo (35 mm, 135 mm e 235 mm). Il risultato delle misure è stato analizzato per valutare, per entrambi i profili, le deviazioni F, f^f and f^H) secondo la ISO 1328-1: 2013 e l'influenza dovuta alle variabili di scansione. Questo lavoro si colloca all'interno del Progetto DriveTrian (ENG56) come deliverable 5.2.3.

Index

Introduction

Deliverable **5.2.3** (**WP 5 -** *Validation of measurement strategies and determination of achievable measurement uncertainty in industrial environment*, **Task 5.2 -** *Determination of the achievable measurement uncertainty*) refers to investigation of dynamic behaviour of probing systems due to scanning measurement at CMM of two standard involute profiles, both superposed with a certain waviness. The standard involutes (SAFT 2w) have been manufactured and calibrated according to existing standards and guidelines (e.g. ISO 1328- 1) by PTB (see D2.1.1 and D2.1.2). In particular, for both profiles, the total deviation F_a , the form deviation f_{fa} and the slope deviation f_{Ha} have been calibrated; moreover, a spectral analysis has been performed using FFT method and the three main components of the spectrum have been calibrated in terms of wavelength and amplitude. Result are documented in PTB calibration certificate ref. n. 5.3-2016-014 (D2.1.2)

INRIM investigated the influence of scanning parameter such as 5 different scanning speeds (from 2 mm/s to 24 mm/s), 3 different workpiece orientations inside the measurement \volume and 3 different stylus lengths (35 mm, 135 mm and 235 mm). Measurement result have been analysed in order to evaluate, for both profiles, the deviations (F_a , f_a and $f_{H\alpha}$) according ISO 1328-1: 2013 and the influence due to the scanning measurement parameters on these result.

1. The measurement standard SAFT 2w

the standard SAFT 2w is a plate with a diameter of 290 mm and a thickness of 20 mm, with 2 polished references on the border (a circle and a plan) in order to determine the reference axis of the workpiece (Fig. 1 and Fig. 2). The standard embodies an internal and an external involute profile both superposed with a certain waviness. The profiles have been manufactured with a wire-cut EDM machine. The machining data points have been obtained by using the function described in Figure 3. The three waviness parameters, which were used, are presented in the Table 1.

Fig.1 SAFT 2W Artefact

Tab. 1: Waviness parameters

Fig. 3: Parametric function and the sketch of the involute with waviness

2. Experimental setup and plan of measurement

Free form scanning on the SAFT 2w involute profiles was performed on a CMM Leitz PMM-C 12.10.7 with the following machine specification:

- measuring volume: $12 \times 10 \times 7$ dm³;
- **EMP**_E = $0.6 \mu m + 1.7 \cdot 10^{-6}$ L;
- $P_{FTU} = 0.6 \mu m$;
- Resolution= 0.05 µm ;
- Stylus model: Leitz trax (tip diameter : 3 mm).

One face of SAFT 2w was equipped with 4 PT100 probes for temperature compensation (Fig 4a). Measurement was performed, for both profiles (Fig. 4b), according the following scanning measuring parameters:

- workpiece orientations (*WO*): 0°, 90° and 210° (Fig. 5);
- scanning speed (*SS*): 2, 8, 14, 20, 24 mm/s;
- stylus length (*SL*) : 35, 135 and 235 mm (135 mm and 235 mm have been obtained by means two titanium extensions of 100 mm and 200 mm, respectively);
- 3 scanning measure repetitions for each parameter set.

Fig 4: a) thermometers arrangement; b) example of internal involute measuring scanning

Fig 5: Workpiece orientations: 0°, 90° and 210° with respect to machine x-axis and y-axis (green)

3. Scanning measurement result and data analysis

According to scanning parameters, a total of 270 measurement profiles have been performed¹. Measurement required two day of machine functioning during which temperature has ranged from 20.5 °C to 20.7 °C. The coordinate system taken for measuring was strictly in accordance with the artefact calibration certificate issued by PTB [2] (Fig 6). From the measurement data the profiles have been calculated as function of length of roll. Then, the theoretical involute was subtracted from data.

Fig. 6. Sketch of the SAFT w2 (by PTB report D.2.1.2)

A first evaluation of computed data, evidenced the presence of an unexpected periodic deviation of the profile that seemed to reveal some eccentricity². The reduction of eccentricity by theoretical correction gave as result a profile deviation behaviour very similar to the one showed in PTB certificate (see Fig. 7 and Fig 8)

Fig. 7. Example of internal involute profile By PTB certificate n. ref. n. 5.3-2016-014

 1 For more details on measurement execution (Quindos part-program) see Annex A

 2 The presence of periodic deviation has not been evidenced by SAFT 2w calibration certificate [2]- see Annex B.

Fig. 8: Profile obtained by measurement without eccentricity reduction and profile reduced by an eccentricity \vec{e}

Despite this positive result, the whole sinusoidal effect seems not to be removed by means of the reduction of a mean eccentricity (see Fig. 9 and Fig. 10), moreover correction induce irregularity in f_{Ha} values as function of workpiece orientations (see Tab. 2, 3, 4, and 5); this could suggest the

presence of other effects, as for example thermal effects, that could contribute to the evidenced trend, but these further effects will not be investigated in the present draft.

Notice that also the CMI institute (a project partner) during Final meeting declared that they found the same data behaviour during measurement and that they analysed the measurement data by correcting a theoretical eccentricity even if the correction procedure has not been cited (and therefore described) inside of their deliverable report.

Considering only the presence of an eccentricity as influence effect, a reduction of data distribution has been necessary for the evaluation of profile deviations. In order to reduce eccentricity effects, a mean eccentricity on three couple of coordinates³ has been computed and then it has been mathematically removed by all measured profiles.

Fig. 9: profile deviation before and after the introduction of a polar eccentricity *e**; Internal involute profile

l

The mean eccentricity \bar{e} has been evaluated on 1 repetition and 3 workpiece orientations at scanning speed of 2 mm/s and stylus length of 35 mm in the case of internal involute profile. See Annex C for more details

Fig. 10: profile deviations before and after the introduction of a polar eccentricity *e**; Internal involute profile

As previously evidenced, the mathematical correction, contributes to reduce the sinusoidal effect but it does not remove whole effect, especially in the case of external involute profile, that shows higher values of profile deviations with respect to internal one (see Tab. 2, 3, 4 and 5)

Tab. 2 and Tab. 4 show the result of profile deviation analysis conducted on the data distributions reduced by eccentricity. In particular, for both profiles, the total deviation F_α , the form deviation f_{fa} and the slope deviation $f_{\text{H}\alpha}$ have been evaluated within the following evaluation ranges:

Tab. 3 and tab. 5 show the variability of profile deviations with respect to mean profile deviations evaluated at the best measurement conditions; they have been considered as *best measurement conditions* those conditions that would theoretically guaranteed the lowest effects on measurement result due to scanning speed and stylus length. Therefore, the mean profile deviations have been computed considering the profile deviation values at the following conditions: SL= 35 mm, SS= 2 m/s and WO= 0°, 90°, 210° because it was not possible to distinguish the best workpiece orientation *a priori* (see Annex C for more details about eccentricity correction).

As example of uncorrected profile deviation, Tab. 6 shows the profile deviations for the internal involute profile without eccentricity correction whereas Tab. 7 shows the corrected sample standard deviations of deviation profiles by varying the scanning speed.

The total deviation F_{α} , the form deviation $f_{\rm f\alpha}$ and the slope deviation $f_{\rm H\alpha}$ in case of uncorrected condition (values in Tab. 6) are also shown in Fig. 11, 12 and 13.

Tab 2: internal involute profile deviations

Tab. 3: Internal profile deviations variability with respect to mean profile deviations at best scanning conditions (SS= 2 mm/s, SL= 35 mm, for all orientations)

Tab 4: External involute profile deviations

Tab. 5: External profile deviations variability with respect to mean profile deviations evaluated at best scanning conditions (SS= 2 mm/s, SL= 35 mm, for all orientations)

internal profile scanning - no eccentricity correction							
Profile Deviation	Stylus lenght / mm	Orientation / °	Scanning speed / mm s ⁻¹				
			$\overline{2}$	я	14	20	24
$fH\alpha$ / μ m	35	$\bf{0}$	28.868	28.852	28.775	28.569	28.626
		90	24.215	24.399	24.344	24.232	24.319
		210	20.168	20.004	19.828	19.522	19.508
	135	$\bf{0}$	31.260	31.348	31.355	30.477	30.726
		90	24.566	24.757	24.686	24.505	23.574
		210	20.784	20.681	20.600	19.505	19.576
	235	$\bf{0}$	30.831	30.555	30.551	27.649	27.728
		90	25.411	25.677	25.483	22.989	24.367
		210	18.992	18.746	18.349	16.359	16.752
ff α / μ m	35	$\bf{0}$	31.339	31.825	31.572	31.691	32.404
		90	28.197	28.614	28.855	29.233	29.326
		210	27.614	27.567	27.708	27.664	28.241
	135	$\bf{0}$	32.425	33.086	33.221	33.045	33.257
		90	28.311	29.348	29.876	30.588	29.831
		210	28.092	27.906	28.731	28.743	27.919
	235	$\bf{0}$	33.981	33.648	34.741	33.961	34.449
		90	29.558	30.097	30.148	29.183	30.169
		210	28.728	29.471	29.503	28.242	26.799
$F\alpha$ / μ m	35	$\bf{0}$	39.787	41.087	40.725	41.946	41.497
		90	38.387	39.610	39.425	39.849	40.005
		210	33.355	34.081	35.004	35.064	34.264
	135	$\bf{0}$	41.067	41.710	42.727	42.962	42.810
		90	38.519	38.911	39.961	41.563	40.655
		210	34.013	34.398	35.495	35.498	34.882
	235	$\bf{0}$	41.125	42.129	44.841	42.563	41.186
		90	39.650	40.239	42.000	40.188	41.380
		210	32.873	33.822	34.861	34.166	33.723

Tab. 6: Internal profile deviations without eccentricity correction

Tab. 7: corrected sample standard deviations of deviation profiles by varying the scanning speed.

Fig. 11: Total deviation at different scanning speeds, workpiece orientations and Stylus lengths – internal involute

Fig. 12: form deviation at different scanning speeds, workpiece orientations and Stylus lengths – internal involute

Fig. 13: slope deviation at different scanning speeds, workpiece orientations and Stylus lengths – internal involute

After the profile deviation analysis, measurement data have been spectral analysed by means of FFT method in order to determine the superimposed waviness as a function of scanning parameters; furthermore the three largest amplitudes within the evaluation range have been determined. The found wavelengths and amplitudes indicates the presence of a waviness consistent with the superposed nominal waviness (see section 1). Tables 8, 9, 10 and 11 summarize result obtained at different measurement conditions (workpiece orientations, scanning speeds and stylus lengths). Since data analyses show that scanning conditions do not influence significantly the FFT result and that detection of superposed waviness is very repeatable with scanning speeds (SS) (see Tab 8 and Tab. 10), it has been decided to show the result at different stylus lengths (SL) and orientations (WO) only in the cases of SS= 2 mm/s.

Finally, Fig. 14 and Fig. 15 show two examples of conduced FFT analysis.

Tab. 8. result of FFT analysis for the internal involute profile at different SS and at SL= 35 mm, WO= 0°

Tab. 9: result of FFT analysis for internal involute profile at SS= 2 mm/s and different SL and WO

Tab. 10. result of FFT analysis for the external involute profile at different SS and at SL= 35 mm, WO= 0°

Fig. 8: FFT for internal involute profile (SL= 35 mm, SS= 2 mm/s, WO= 0°); dashed lines mark the evaluation range wavelength: 0.77 mm – 20 mm

Fig. 9: FFT for external involute profile (SL= 35 mm, SS= 2 mm/s, WO= 0°); dashed lines mark the evaluation range wavelength: 0.77 mm – 20 mm

Conclusion

Freeform scanning on an internal involute profile measurement standard designed and manufactured by PTB has been conducted. First evaluations on measurement data evidenced the presence of unsuspected effects as a possible eccentricity and some possible thermal effects not deeply investigated, yet.

Result after eccentricity reduction, suggest a not very significant trend of the slope deviation $f_{\text{H}\alpha}$ as a function of scanning speed or stylus length; variation of $f_{\text{H}\alpha}$ values at different orientations seems to suggest a not adequate evaluation of secondary effect rather than a real effect of orientation on measurement result (this aspect has to be investigated in more detail). About total deviations F_{α} , and form deviations f_{fr} is not present a significant trend as function of scanning speed, orientation or stylus length; limited differences seem to suggest a modest worsening of performances but magnitudes of these differences do not allow to define a clear trend.

On the contrary, spectral analysis of data, suggest high and stable performances of the machine. Actually, result show that evaluations of wavelength and amplitude are very repeatable and that they are not influenced by workpiece orientation, scanning speed or stylus length.

In particular, negligible variations in evaluation of wavelength and amplitude as function of orientations and stylus length could means respectively an adequate compensation of machine geometrical error and a valid probing system qualification, whereas the analysis in term of scanning speed (in the scanning speed range considered with respect to the waviness investigated) allows to confirm the maintenance of these high performances also at the most critical measuring conditions.

Therefore, generally, the waviness analysis result allows to give an overall positive evaluation in term of performances for the CMM used in this work.

Reference

[1] PTB Report - deliverable D 2.1.1 [2] PTB Report - deliverable D 2.1.2

Annexes

- A Quindos part-program
- B TR 17/2017 Annex B: SAFT w2 calibration certificate by PTB
- C Eccentricity correction (formulary)

Annex A - T.R. 17/2017:

Q7 part program "*DriveTrain evolvente.wdb***" – 01/08/2017 for the scanning of the internal involute waviness standard performed on the INRIM CMM Leitz PMM- C 12.10.7**

```
! --------------------------- JRP DriveTrain --
!---------------------- Campione d'evolvente -------------------------
! Geometria del campione
!distanza dal bordo esterno del colletto per la presa punti del piano superiore
BORDO=5
SEMI_SPESSORE=10
SEMI_INGOMBRO=145
~TEMPER_FILE=C:\002 - Corradi NI\filePerQ7.txt
~RADICE_FILE=C:\Users\LNPC775\Desktop\DriveTrain evolvente\MISURE\Pos0210-St235-Vel
~RIPETIZIONE=-Rip3.txt
SPEED(1)=2SPEED(2)=8SPEED(3)=14SPEED(4)=20
SPEED(5)=24
! Velocità
!USECMM (NAM=LENTA)<br>USECMM (NAM=VELOCE
                (NAM=VELOC<sub>E</sub>)! Qualifica tastatore
DfnArtefact (NAM=S1, DIA=CAL$NOR, SAZ=0.0, SEL=90.0, SDM=8.0, COE=0.0000065)
QualifyTool (NAM=PRB, DIA=3.000, NRF=Y, REF=S1, SCN=Y, SNT=TRX, RPT=(0,0,-235), DEL=N, GEO=SPH)
MoveCmmInmm (TYP=DLT, DST=(,,100))<br>SHOW (NAM=PRB, DEV=TT, TYP=EI
             (NAM=PRB, DEV=TT, TYP=ELE, STY=EVA)STOP
MessageBox (STR="Misura manuale?", BUT=4, ICO=2, DFB=1)<br>If (BXP=~MsqBoxResult=="Yes")
         If (BXP=~MsgBoxResult=="Yes")
! Sistema di riferimento manuale
 EDTMSG (NAM=PIANO_MAN, CRE=Y)<br>MEPLA (NAM=PIANO_MAN, CSY=CMI
 MEPLA (NAM=PIANO_MAN, CSY=CMMA$CSY, ITY=GSS, MSG=PIANO_MAN, DEL=Y)<br>EDTMSG (NAM=CENTRO_MAN, CRE=Y)
                (NAM=CENTRO MAN, CRE=Y)MECIR (NAM=CENTRO_MAN, CSY=CMMA$CSY, PRO=PIANO_MAN, PTY=EX, MSG=CENTRO_MAN, DEL=Y)<br>EDTMSG (NAM=CERCHIO MAN, CRE=Y)
                (NAM=CERCHIO MAN, CRE=Y)MECIR (NAM=CERCHIO_MAN, CSY=CMMA$CSY, PRO=PIANO_MAN, PTY=EX, MSG=CERCHIO_MAN, DEL=Y)<br>DIPNTPNT (NAM=ASSE X MAN, CSY=CMMA$CSY, EL1=CENTRO_MAN, EL2=CERCHIO_MAN)
 DIPNTPNT (NAM=ASSE_X_MAN, CSY=CMMA$CSY, EL1=CENTRO_MAN, EL2=CERCHIO_MAN)
 BLDCSY (NAM=CSY_MAN, TYP=CAR, SPA=PIANO_MAN, SDR=+Z, PLA=ASSE_X_MAN, PDR=+X, XZE=CENTRO_MAN, 
YZE=CENTRO_MAN, ZZE=CENTRO_MAN)
EndIf<br>USECSY
              (NAM=CSY_MAN)
! Ripresa sistema di riferimento automatico
GENCIR (NAM=PIANO_AUT, XCO=0, YCO=0, ZCO=0, DIA=CENTRO_MAN.$A-2*BORDO, NPT=8, PLA=XY, INO=P, 
CSY=CSY_MAN, ZVL=50)
              (NAM=CENTRO_AUT, XCO=0, YCO=0, ZCO=-SEMI_SPESSORE, DIA=CENTRO_MAN.$A, NPT=8, PLA=XY, INO=O,
CSY=CSY_MAN, ZVL=50+SEMI_SPESSORE)
              GENCIR (NAM=CERCHIO_AUT, XCO=ASSE_X_MAN.$A, YCO=0, ZCO=-SEMI_SPESSORE, DIA=CERCHIO_MAN.$A, NPT=8, 
PLA=XY, INO=I, CSY=CSY_MAN, ZVL=50+SEMI_SPESSORE)
MoveCmmInmm (TYP=ABS, DST=(0,0,100), CSY=CSY_MAN)
MEPLA (NAM=PIANO_AUT, CSY=CSY_MAN, ITY=GSS)
MECIR (NAM=CENTRO_AUT, CSY=CSY_MAN, PRO=PIANO_AUT, PTY=EX)<br>MECIR (NAM=CERCHIO_AUT, CSY=CSY_MAN, PRO=PIANO_AUT, PTY=EX)
MECIR (NAM=CERCHIO_AUT, CSY=CSY_MAN, PRO=PIANO_AUT, PTY=EX)<br>DIPNTPNT (NAM=ASSE X_AUT, CSY=CSY_MAN, EL1=CENTRO_AUT, EL2=CI
DIPNTPNT (NAM=ASSE_X_AUT, CSY=CSY_MAN, EL1=CENTRO_AUT, EL2=CERCHIO_AUT)
BLDCSY (NAM=CSY_AUT, TYP=CAR, SPA=PIANO_AUT, SDR=+Z, PLA=ASSE_X_AUT, PDR=+X, XZE=CENTRO_AUT, 
YZE=CENTRO_AUT, ZZE=CENTRO_AUT)
!----------------------------------- !
!---------- TEMPERATURE------------- !
!------------------------------------!
! acquisizione Temperature scale CMM !
! LEGGO SOLO<br>TMPCOMP
                (COE=0.000000, AUT=Y, TEL=TEMP, DEL=Y)
!
GETVALS (OBJ=TEMP, TYP=ELE, RDS=(X,Y,Z), REA=(X,Y,Z))
! acquisizione Temperature campione evolvente
! chiama la procedura<br>DELCHS (NAM=
              (NAM=CHS:~IMP2EVA_*, CNF=N, TYP=CHS)
\nuIMP2EVA_FILE = ~TEMPER_FILE
\simIMP2EVA_X = 'TERM_1'
~IMP2EVA_Y = 'TERM_2'
\simIMP2EVA_Z = 'TERM_3'
\simIMP2EVAA = 'TERM\sim4'
~\simIMP2EVAB ="
\nuIMP2EVA\bar{\mathsf{D}} = "
```
 \sim IMP2EVA E = " $~\sim$ IMP2EVA $\overline{}$ F = " (NAM=IMP2EVA) ! copia elemento creato dalla procedura e poi lo cancella CPYOBJ (FRM=IMP2EVA_ELE, TO =TEMP_CAL_A) DELELE (NAM=IMP2EVA_ELE, CNF=N) !leggo le 4 temperature GETVALS (OBJ=TEMP CAL A, TYP=ELE, RDS=(X,Y,Z,A), REA=(T1,T2,T3,T4)) MEDIAT=(T1+T2+T3+T4)/4
TMPCOMP (TFX=X TI (TEX=X, TEY=Y, TEZ=Z, TEW=MEDIAT, COE=0.0000115, AUT=N, TEL=TEMP_CAL_A, DEL=N) DO (NAM=I, BGN=1, END=5) ! muove in posizione inizio scansione interno MoveCmmInmm (TYP=ABS, DST=(16,26,20), CSY=CSY_AUT) !Imposta la velocià di scansione (OBJ=CONTORNO_INT.NOM.PTS(3), TYP=ELE, RDS=A, VAL=SPEED(I)) !scansione bordo interno ME2DE (NAM=CONTORNO_INT, CSY=CSY_AUT, INO=O) !compone stringa per output \sim VEL=-Int CVREACHS (NAM=~VELOCITA, VAL=SPEED(I), FM1=2, INT=Y, ANG=N, SPZ=Y, RLS=Y, RTZ=Y) CONCAT (NAM=~PERCORSO, STR=(~RADICE_FILE,~VELOCITA,~VEL,~RIPETIZIONE), INI=Y) !esporta la scansione FMTOBJ (FIL=~PERCORSO, NAM=CONTORNO_INT, STA=NEW, TYP=ELE, STY=APT, DSC=(X,Y,Z), DEL=Y) ! muove in posizione MoveCmmInmm (TYP=ABS, DST=(17,-112,20), CSY=CSY_AUT) $(TYP=ABS, DST=(16,26,20), CSY=CSVAUT)$!imposta velocità di scansione PUTVALS (OBJ=CONTORNO_EST.NOM.PTS(3), TYP=ELE, RDS=A, VAL=SPEED(I)) !scansione bordo esterno
ME2DE (NAM=CO (NAM=CONTORNO_EST, CSY=CSY_AUT) !compone stringa per output ~VEL=-Est
CVREACHS CVREACHS (NAM=~VELOCITA, VAL=SPEED(I), FM1=2, INT=Y, ANG=N, SPZ=Y, RLS=Y, RTZ=Y) (NAM=~PERCORSO, STR=(~RADICE_FILE,~VELOCITA,~VEL,~RIPETIZIONE), INI=Y) !esporta la scansione FMTOBJ (FIL=~PERCORSO, NAM=CONTORNO_EST, STA=NEW, TYP=ELE, STY=APT, DSC=(X,Y,Z), DEL=Y) MoveCmmInmm (TYP=ABS, DST=(17,-112,20), CSY=CSY_AUT) MoveCmmInmm (TYP=ABS, DST=(16,26,20), CSY=CSY_AUT) ENDDO !----------------------------------- ! !---------- TEMPERATURE-R----------- ! !------------------------------------! ! acquisizione Temperature campione evolvente ! chiama la procedura DELCHS (NAM=CHS:~IMP2EVA_*, CNF=N, TYP=CHS) \sim IMP2EVA_FILE = \sim TEMPER_FILE ~IMP2EVA_X = 'TERM_1' ~IMP2EVA_Y = 'TERM_2' ~IMP2EVA_Z = 'TERM_3' \sim IMP2EVA A = 'TERM A ' ν IMP2EVA $B =$ " \neg IMP2EVA \bar{D} = " ν IMP2EVA $E =$ " $~\sim$ IMP2EVA_F = "
INDPRC (N (NAM=IMP2EVA) ! copia elemento creato dalla procedura e poi lo cancella CPYOBJ (FRM=IMP2EVA_ELE, TO =TEMP_CAL_R)
DELELE (NAM=IMP2EVA_ELE, CNF=N) $(NAM=IMP2EVA$ ⁻ELE, $CNF=N$! ----------- ! ! Report ! ! ----------- ! ! si riportano i due elementi sottostamti secondo il CMMA\$CSY (NEW=CENTRO_ASSOLUTO, TRA=CMMA\$CSY, OLD=CENTRO_AUT, TYP=CSY, RPL=Y, EVA=N) TRAELE (NEW=ASSE_X_ASSOLUTO, TRA=CMMA\$CSY, OLD=ASSE_X_AUT, TYP=CSY, RPL=Y, EVA=N) DELQUE (NAM=\$RPO, CNF=N, TYP=QUE) ADDEVA (NAM=(PRB,TEMP_CAL_A,TEMP_CAL_R)) ADDEVA (NAM=(CENTRO_ASSOLUTO,ASSE_X_ASSOLUTO)) FLEXREPORT (LAY=VICI, PRI=N, XFL=C:\Users\LNPC775\Desktop\DriveTrain evolvente\MISURE\report) **STOP**

Annex B - T.R. 17/2017: **Calibration Certificate by PTB**

**Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt
Braunschweig und Berlin
Nationales Metrologieinstitut**

Kalibrierschein

Calibration Certificate

U. Clauann
Dipl.-Ing. (FH) A. Wedmann

Kalibrierscheine ohne Unterschrift und Siegel haben keine Gültigkeit. Dieser Kalibrierschein darf nur unverändert weiterverbreitet werden. Auszüge bedürfen der Genehmigung der Physikalisch-Technischen Bundesanstalt. Calibration Certificates without signature and seal are not valid. This Calibration Certificate may not be reproduced other than in full. Extracts may be taken only with the permission of the Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt.

391 00A n

Dr. rer. nat. M. Stein

Seite 2 zum Kalibrierschein vom 2016-05-11, Kalibrierzeichen: 50574 PTB 16 Page 2 of the Calibration Certificate dated 2016-05-11, calibration mark: 50574 PTB 16

General note concerning the English translation:

This Calibration Certificate is written in German. In case of any conflict between the German language version and the English translation of it, the German version shall prevail.

Kalibriergegenstand **Calibration standard**

Wellenbehaftetes Evolventen-Scanning-Normal Involute waviness scanning measurement standard

Das wellenbehaftete Evolventen-Scanning-Normal verkörpert ein internes und ein externes Evolventenprofil mit überlagerter Welligkeit. Außerdem weist es Referenzflächen zur Festlegung von Bezugskreis und Bezugsebene auf. Eine hochgenaue Bohrung dient zur Festlegung der x-Achse.

The involute waviness scanning measurement standard embodies an internal and an external involute profile with superposed waviness. Moreover it is equipped with reference surfaces to determine a reference circle and a reference plane. A precise bore is used to define the x-axis.

Evolventenparameter:

Involute parameters:

Seite 3 zum Kalibrierschein vom 2016-05-11, Kalibrierzeichen: 50574 PTB 16 Page 3 of the Calibration Certificate dated 2016-05-11, calibration mark: 50574 PTB 16

Wellenbehaftetes Evolventen-Scanning-Normal samt Auflagevorrichtung Involute waviness scanning measurement standard with subbase

Kalibrierverfahren **Calibration procedure**

Die beiden Profile des Normals wurden auf einem rückgeführten Koordinatenmessgerät kalibriert. Die einzelnen Messwerte wurden durch ein Mehrlagenmessverfahren ermittelt. Hierzu wurde das Normal in vier um 90° versetzten Stellungen mehrfach gemessen. Die Messergebnisse sind die gemittelten Werte aus allen Messungen.

The profiles of the measurement standard were calibrated on a coordinate measuring machine for which traceability has been proved. The individual measurement values were determined by a multiple orientation measurement procedure. For this purpose, the measurement standard was measured in four positions displaced by 90°. The measurement results are the averaged values from all measurements.

Seite 4 zum Kalibrierschein vom 2016-05-11, Kalibrierzeichen: 50574 PTB 16 Page 4 of the Calibration Certificate dated 2016-05-11, calibration mark: 50574 PTB 16

Bezüge References

Die Bezugsseite des Normals ist durch die Gravur gekennzeichnet.

Die Referenzachse des Normals wurde numerisch ermittelt. Hierzu wurden am Normal ein Bezugskreis und ein Bezugsebene (siehe Skizze des Normals) gemessen. Der Mittelpunkt des Kreises und die Ebene wurden nach der Methode der kleinsten Fehlerquadrate ermittelt. Durch den Mittelpunkt des Bezugskreises und senkrecht zur Bezugsebene wurde die Referenzachse des Normals gelegt. Um das Koordinatensystem des Werkstückes festzulegen, wurde als z-Achse die Referenzachse verwendet. Die x-Richtungsbohrung wurde mit 36 Punkten gemessen und ihr Mittelpunkt nach der Methode der kleinsten Fehlerquadrate ermittelt. Durch diesen Mittelpunkt wurde die x-Achse gelegt.

The datum face of the measurement standard is marked by the engraving.

The reference axis of the measurement standard was numerically determined. For this purpose, a reference circle and a reference plane on the measurement standard (see sketch of the measurement standard) were measured and determined by least squares method. The reference axis of the measurement standard was fixed through the center of the reference circle and perpendicular to the reference plane. In order to determine the workpiece coordinate system the reference axis was fixed as zaxis. The x-direction reference bore was measured with 36 single points and its center determined by least squares method. By this center point the x-axis is defined.

 (1) Bezugsseite (Höhenbezug) datum face (height reference)

- (2) **Bezugskreis** reference circle
- (3) Bezugsebene reference plane
- x-Richtungsbohrung (4) x-direction reference bore
- (5) Internes Evolventenprofil Internal involute profile
- (6) **Externes Evolventenprofil** External involute profile
	- Grundkreisbogen mit Radius 20 mm

base circle arc with radius $20 \, \text{mm}$

Skizze des Normals Sketch of the measurement standard

Seite 5 zum Kalibrierschein vom 2016-05-11, Kalibrierzeichen: 50574 PTB 16 Page 5 of the Calibration Certificate dated 2016-05-11, calibration mark: 50574 PTB 16

Umgebungsbedingungen **Environmental conditions**

Normative Verweise Normative references

Die Bezeichnung am Evolventennormal und die Auswertungen erfolgten, sofern nicht explizit anders beschrieben, unter Berücksichtigung der folgenden Richtlinien und Normen:

For identification and evaluations on the involute artifact the following guidelines and standards were taken into account unless otherwise explicitly noted:

ISO 1328-1, September 2013 (E); VDI/VDE 2607, Februar 2000; VDI/VDE 2612, Mai 2000; DIN ISO 21771, August 2014; DIN ISO 21772, Juli 2012; DIN ISO 21773, August 2014; DIN 3999, November 1974;

Messunsicherheit Measurement uncertainty

Angegeben ist die erweiterte Messunsicherheit, die sich aus der Standardmessunsicherheit durch Multiplikation mit dem Erweiterungsfaktor $k = 2$ ergibt. Sie wurde gemäß dem "Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement (GUM)" ermittelt. Der Wert der Messgröße liegt dann im Regelfall mit einer Wahrscheinlichkeit von annähernd 95 % im zugeordneten Überdeckungsintervall.

The uncertainty stated is the expanded measurement uncertainty obtained by multiplying the standard measurement uncertainty by the coverage factor $k = 2$. It has been determined in accordance with the "Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement (GUM)". The value of the measurand then normally lies with a probability of 95 % within the attributed coverage interval.

Seite 6 zum Kalibrierschein vom 2016-05-11, Kalibrierzeichen: 50574 PTB 16 Page 6 of the Calibration Certificate dated 2016-05-11, calibration mark: 50574 PTB 16

Messparameter Measurement parameters

Die Messungen wurden auf der Grundlage der auf Seite 2 aufgelisteten und der folgenden Angaben durchgeführt:

The measurements were based on the parameters listed on page 2 with the following additional parameters:

Seite 7 zum Kalibrierschein vom 2016-05-11, Kalibrierzeichen: 50574 PTB 16 Page 7 of the Calibration Certificate dated 2016-05-11, calibration mark: 50574 PTB 16

Ergebnisse Profil Results profile

Aus den Messdaten wurden die Profile im Verhältnis zur Wälzlänge berechnet. Dazu wurde die theoretische Evolvente abgezogen und anschließend innerhalb der angegebenen Auswertebereiche die Profil-Gesamtabweichung F_{α} , Profil-Formabweichung f_{α} und Profil-Winkelabweichung $f_{\text{H}\alpha}$ ermittelt.

From the measurement data the profiles have been calculated as function of length of roll. Therefore, the theoretical involute was substracted from the data. Then, within the evaluation ranges the total deviation F_{α} , the form deviation f_{fa} and the slope deviation f_{Ha} have been determined.

Profil Auswertungsbereich

Profile evaluation range

Ergebnisse Profil Results profile

Abkürzungen in der Tabelle: Int: Internes Evolventenprofil, Ext: Externes Evolventenprofil Abbreviations in the table: Int: Internal involute profile, Ext: External involute profile

Seite 8 zum Kalibrierschein vom 2016-05-11, Kalibrierzeichen: 50574 PTB 16 Page 8 of the Calibration Certificate dated 2016-05-11, calibration mark: 50574 PTB 16

Diagramm Diagram

Bei dem folgenden Diagramm zu den Profilergebnissen ist zu beachten, dass ein Diagramm aus den Mehrlagenmessungen ausgesucht wurde, das den Kalibrierwerten am nächsten kommt.

At the following diagram relating to the profile results please note that one diagram was selected from the multiple orientation measurement procedure which best approaches the calibrated values.

Seite 9 zum Kalibrierschein vom 2016-05-11, Kalibrierzeichen: 50574 PTB 16 Page 9 of the Calibration Certificate dated 2016-05-11, calibration mark: 50574 PTB 16

Spektrale Analyse Spectral analysis

Mittels FFT (Fast Fourier Transform) wurde das Profil spektral analysiert. Aus dem transformierten Profil wurden die 3 größten Amplituden in dem angegeben Auswertebereich ermittelt.

The profile has been interpolated in order to obtain data with higher point density. The profile has been spectral analysed with FFT method (Fast Fourier Transformation). The three largest amplitudes within the evaluation range have been determined.

Parameter für spektrale Analyse: Parameters for spectral analysis:

Ergebnisse spektrale Analyse Results spectral analysis

Abkürzungen in der Tabelle: Int: Internes Evolventenprofil, Ext: Externes Evolventenprofil Abbreviations in the table: Int: Internal involute profile, Ext: External involute profile

Diagramm Diagram

Bei dem folgenden Diagramm zu den Ergebnissen der spektralen Analyse ist zu beachten, dass ein Diagramm aus der FFT Analyse ausgesucht wurde, das den Kalibrierwerten am nächsten kommt.

At the following diagram relating to the results of spectral analysis please note that one diagram was selected from the FFT analysis which best approaches the calibrated values.

Seite 10 zum Kalibrierschein vom 2016-05-11, Kalibrierzeichen: 50574 PTB 16 Page 10 of the Calibration Certificate dated 2016-05-11, calibration mark: 50574 PTB 16

Seite 11 zum Kalibrierschein vom 2016-05-11, Kalibrierzeichen: 50574 PTB 16 Page 11 of the Calibration Certificate dated 2016-05-11, calibration mark: 50574 PTB 16

Die Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt (PTB) in Braunschweig und Berlin ist das nationale Metrologieinstitut und die technische Oberbehörde der Bundesrepublik Deutschland für das Messwesen. Die PTB gehört zum Geschäftsbereich des Bundesministeriums für Wirtschaft und Energie. Sie erfüllt die Anforderungen an Kalibrier- und Prüflaboratorien auf der Grundlage der **DIN EN ISO/IEC 17025**

Zentrale Aufgabe der PTB ist es, die gesetzlichen Einheiten in Übereinstimmung mit dem Internationalen Einheitensystem (SI) darzustellen, zu bewahren und weiterzugeben. Die PTB steht damit an oberster **Stelle** der metrologischen Hierarchie in Deutschland Die Kalibrierscheine der PTB dokumentieren eine auf nationale Normale rückgeführte Kalibrierung.

Zur Sicherstellung der weltweiten Einheitlichkeit der Maßeinheiten arbeitet die PTB mit anderen nationalen metrologischen Instituten auf regionaler europäischer Ebene in EURAMET und auf internationaler Ebene im Rahmen der Meterkonvention zusammen. Dieses Ziel wird durch einen intensiven Austausch von Forschungsergebnissen und durch umfangreiche internationale Vergleichsmessungen erreicht.

The Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt (PTB) in Braunschweig and Berlin is the National Metrology Institute and the supreme technical authority of the Federal Republic of Germany for metrology. The PTB comes under the auspices of the Federal Ministry of Economics and Energy. It meets the requirements for calibration and testing laboratories as defined in **DIN EN ISO/IEC 17025.**

The central task of PTB is to realize, to maintain and to disseminate the legal units in compliance with the International System of Units (SI). PTB thus is at the top of the metrological hierarchy in Germany. The calibration certificates issued by PTB document a calibration traceable to national measurement standards.

PTB cooperates with other national metrology institutes - at the regional European level within EURAMET and at the international level within the framework of the Metre Convention - with the aim of ensuring the worldwide coherence of the measurement units. This aim is achieved by an intensive exchange of the results of research work and by comprehensive international comparison measurements

Annex C - T.R. 17/2017:

Eccentricity correction and calculation of the profile deviation parameters ($f_{H\alpha}$, $f_{f\alpha}$ and F_{α}) **of the standard involutes (SAFT 2W): procedure and formulary**

The procedure is synthetically articulated in the following steps:

- 1. Determination of the components of the polar eccentricity vector \bar{e} by means of the Microsoft Excel built-in optimization tool "*Solver*" in the best scanning conditions (*v* = 2 mm s^{-1} , stylus length = 35 mm), averaging the obtained values among the 3 different workpiece orientation (0°, 90° and 210°).
- 2. Creation of an OriginLab Origin batch working on the suitable roll length ranges for both external and internal involute profiles to generate, for all the scanning conditions (combinations of 5 variable speeds, 3 stylus lengths and 3 workpiece orientations), the following ordered quantities:
	- a. the **eccentric coordinates** X_{ecc} and Y_{ecc} of an arbitrary point on the involute profile by correction of the the CMM acquired points with the previously calculated \bar{e} components (*e^x* and *ey*);
	- b. the **module of the position vector** of the same point: $\rho_{ecc} = \sqrt{X_{ecc}^2 + Y_{ecc}^2}$;
	- c. the "**eccentric" anomaly**: $\varphi_{ecc} = arctan(\frac{Y}{r})$ $\frac{r_{ecc}}{X_{ecc}}$);
	- d. the **roll angle**: $\theta_{ecc} = \varphi_{ecc} + \arccos\left(\frac{R}{r}\right)$ $\left(\frac{R_b}{\rho_{ecc}}\right)$, where R_b = base radius;
	- e. the "**observed" roll length**: $l_{obs} = \sqrt{\rho_{ecc}^2 R_b^2 \pm R_p}$ (being R_p = radius of the probe tip, the "+" sign for the internal involute profile and the "–" sign for the external involute profile);
	- f. the "**expected" roll lenght**: $l_{exn} = R_h \theta_{ecc}$;
	- g. the difference between the observed and the expected roll length, that is the **profile deviation** Δ_l .
- 3. Performance of linear regressions on the Δ_l vectors of data, so that the obtained slopes define the $f_{H\alpha}$ parameter. The other profile parameters are calculated in the following way: being *residuals* = *difference between regression line and profile deviation for each* experimental point, $f_{fa} = max(residuals) - min(residuals)$; $F_a = max(\Delta_l) - min(\Delta_l)$.

